UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,564	05/03/2005	Tatsuya Iwasaki	03500.017825.	4068	
	7590 01/18/200 CELLA HARPER &	·	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFEL	LER PLAZA	& SCINTO MOONEY, MICHAEL P	MICHAEL P		
NEW YORK, N	NY 10112		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2883		
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MOI	NTHS	01/18/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			1	F
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/533,564	IWASAKI		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Michael P. Mooney	2883	ļ	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence	address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuly Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of th D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		the ments is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 2-6 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a) jected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Natior	nal Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/8/06, 10/23/06, 11/16/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/533,564

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102b as being anticipated by Hideo Itoh et al. Article submitted in the 6/8/06 IDS.

Itoh et al. teaches an information transmission process for transmitting information between a signal-emitting port for emitting an optical signal and plural signal-receiving ports for receiving the optical signal through a light transmissive medium in an optical circuit device (e.g., pp. 38-40; figs 10-11), the process comprising a first step of transmitting a first information by emitting light in a first emission angle range from the signal-emitting port to transmit first information to at least one of the signal-receiving ports, and a second step, after the first step (e.g., page 38, second full paragraph; figs. 10-11), of transmitting second information from the signal-emitting port by emitting light in a second emission angle range (figs. 10-11) different from the first emission angle range (figs. 10-11) to transmit second information to at least one of the signal-receiving ports (e.g., pp. 38-40; figs 10-11).

Thus claim 1 is met.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102b as being anticipated by Jung et al. (6573984).

Jung et al. teaches an optical circuit device comprising plural ports having at least one of an optical signal-emitting function and an optical signal-receiving function (e.g., fig. 10B; fig. 1; fig. 40), the optical circuit device having a constitution in which optical information can be transmitted between the ports through a light-transmissive medium (e.g., fig. 1 #3) and at least one of the ports is capable of emitting light in one of two or more emission angle ranges selectively (col. 49 lines 10-43; fig. 40)

Thus claim 7 is met.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-6 are allowed.

The prior art, either alone or in combination, does not disclose or render obvious the steps: subsequently in a second step data is transmitted through the communication path (claim 2); 1st emission angle range (EAR) is larger than the 2nd EAR (claim 3); data in 1st step is lower speed than in 2nd step (claim 3); the 3 steps of claim 5 in combination with the rest of the properly corresponding claim.

It is noted that each of respective claims 2-6 is allowable because the unique combination of each and every specific element stated in each of respective claims 2-6.

Art Unit: 2883

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Mooney whose telephone number is 571-272-2422. The examiner can normally be reached during weekdays, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on 571-272-2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael P. Mooney

Examiner Art Unit 2883

FGF/mpm 1/8/07

Frank G. Font

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Frank I Fort

Art Unit 2883